

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/547,395 | Applicant(s) WANG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Cynthia Hamilton | Art Unit 1752 | |

All Participants:

 (1) Cynthia Hamilton.

 (2) Mr. John Pianki.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 29 June 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant's representative of record the week of June 22, 2004 to ascertain if application was abandoned. Applicant's representative returned call stating that the last action of November 18, 2003 was not received. The examiner noted that applicants change in address and attorneys was of record but that while the change reached the PTO 10/20/2003 that it had not been acknowledged until January 15, 2004, thus the examiner's action of November 18, 2003 was mailed to the wrong address. On this date June 29, 2004, the examiner called Mr. Pianki to inform him the action of November 18, 2003 would be remailed and the date of response reset. Thus, the application would not be held abandoned. .